# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Organization COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. BOX 1450.

\_Bldg./Room

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

BIRC940 1000243012 FORWARD TIME EXP BIRCH STEWART KOLL 650 TOWN CENTER DR 650 TOWN CENTER DR 650 TOWN CENTER DR

USPTO MAIL CEN

LEB 3 8 5000



MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314 02 1A 0004205065



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pr V

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/686,210      | 10/15/2003                         | Allen Petroskey  | COL/04                  | 5900            |
| 33108 7         | 7590 02/06/2006                    |  | EXAM                    | INER            |
|                 | WART, KOLASCH & F                  | LE, HUYEN D  |                         |                 |
|                 | IRE BOULEVARD<br>ES, CA 90024-4450 | OIPE   | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|                 |                                    | OIFE   | 3751                    | =               |
|                 |                                    | FEB 2 8 2006   | DATE MAILED: 02/06/2000 | 5               |
|                 |                                    | The same of the sa |                         |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Office Assistant Communication  | 10/686,210  | PETROSKEY ET AL.  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |
|   |   | James P. Hughes   | 2883  |
| Period fo                                   | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply   | n appears on the cover sheet with th  | e correspondence address  |
| A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to prefor reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the provided period for reply will, by the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by treply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (i statute, cause the application to become ABANDO | ON.  timely filed  tom the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status                                      |   |   |   |
| 2a) <u></u><br>                             | Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un  | This action is non-final. lowance except for formal matters,  | •   |
| Dispositi                                   | ion of Claims   |   |   |
| 5)  | Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 136- is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction at a subject to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 10-5-06 is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to be objected to be objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to be object | hdrawn from consideration.  and/or election requirement.  aminer.  accepted or b) □ objected to by to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sorrection is required if the drawing(s) is | See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                                |
|   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |
| 12) <u> </u>                                | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bustee the attached detailed Office action for a   | ments have been received.<br>ments have been received in Applic<br>priority documents have been rece<br>ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ation No ived in this National Stage  |
| 2) 🔲 Notice<br>3) 🔲 Inform                  | t(s)<br>e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S<br>r No(s)/Mail Date   | 4)  Interview Summa B) Paper No(s)/Mail B/08) 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:  | ary (PTO-413)<br>Date<br>Il Patent Application (PTO-152)                                |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- Applicant challenges the support of a teaching of a silicide layer over a doped layer in the provisional application of (60/457,242) Montgomery. (See pages 10 and 11 of the amendment)

  First, it is noted that Montgomery is used as a teaching reference. Following, there is support in the provisional application of Montgomery for this teaching, see e.g. page 20 of the provisional application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13, 15, 21-24, 26, and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shirane et al. (2002/0146196). Shirane teaches a method and apparatus for applying electrical control to a photonic crystal structure comprising a photonic crystal (e.g. of gallium arsenide) situated on a substrate (e.g. 70) having a region of relatively high index of refraction wherein light is confined/guided (e.g.72,77). There is a plurality of apertures (e.g. see fig. 11 and 12) formed through the substrate that are filled with a lower refractive index material.

Art Unit: 2883

Electrical control of the device is provided by two lateral electrical contacts (80 and 81) coupled to the photonic crystal, wherein both contacts are optically isolated from the waveguide (e.g. 77) by the plurality of apertures. The electrical contacts may be made of metal alloy and doped to form "n" and "p" type regions and may be formed by depositing a metal electrode material on the doped semiconductor layer. (See e.g. paragraphs 64-77 and Figs. 11 and 12)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1, 5, 7, 12, 14, 21, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirane et al. (2002/0146196). Shirane teaches a method and apparatus for applying electrical control to a photonic crystal as discussed above.

However, Shirane does not explicitly teach that the electrical contacts are substantially identically doped or that the exact doping profile of the substrate (or exact substrate material) in the region of the waveguide.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide various doping configurations and/or dope the first and second electrical contacts identically because this would allow an even current injection method. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to do so because it would yield an efficient control device.

Claims 21 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirane 3. et al. (2002/0146196). Shirane teaches a method and apparatus for applying electrical control to a photonic crystal as discussed above.

However, Shirane does not explicitly teach that if the electrical contacts are controlled via applying a forward or reverse bias to the contacts. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate forward bias to the contacts to yield the optimal results for application specific tasks. One would have been motivated to do so to yield an efficient device.

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirane et 4. al. (2002/0146196) in view of Baba et al. (6,522,448). Shirane teaches a method and apparatus for applying electrical control to a photonic crystal as discussed above.

However, Shirane does not explicitly teach that the apparatus may be used in an optical dely line, a switch, or a photodetector.

Since these are all commonly used optical devices – e.g. as shown by Baba et al.

(6,522,448) – and the invention of Shirane may be employed as a general semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the device of Shirane in such optical devices because the device of Sugitatsu will provide an efficient means for control of an optical device.

5. Claims 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirane et al. (2002/0146196) in view of Montgomery et al. (6,845,198). Shirane teaches a method and apparatus for applying electrical control to a photonic crystal as discussed above.

However, Shirane does not explicitly teach that the electrical contacts are used to control the waveguide properties via thermo-optic effects, or the specific steps of forming the electrodes with a silicide contact layer over the dopant layer.

As silicide layers over dopant layers are well known for providing electrical contacts in electro-optic devices – as taught i.e. by Montgomery (See e.g. Col. 4, Il. 1-20) – it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a silicide layer over the dopant layer in the electrodes of Shirane because this would allow an efficient electrode contact.

Shirane teaches a method and apparatus for applying electrical control to a photonic crystal as discussed above. Wherein it is taught, as is commonly known, that applying a current to the electrodes (8n and 8p) will heat up the device – thus the substrate will be heated as current is applied to the electrodes and cooled as the current is reduced. The phrasing in the preamble of

Application/Control Number: 10/686,210

Art Unit: 2883

claim 32 of "thermo-optic control" is not given significant patentable weight because it does not

necessary breath life into the claim. (See e.g. paragraphs 64-77 and Figs. 11 and 12)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James P. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-2474. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James P. Hughes Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2883

JH

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Frank & F

Page 6

#### Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination 10/686,210 PETROSKEY ET AL. Notice of References Cited Examiner Art Unit Page 1 of 1 James P. Hughes 2883

Application/Control No.

#### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

| * |   | Document Number<br>Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date<br>MM-YYYY | Name           | Classification |
|---|---|--|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| * | Α | US-2002/0146196                                  | 10-2002         | Shirane et al. | 385/16         |
|   | В | US-  |                 |                |                |
|   | С | US-  |                 |                |                |
|   | D | US-  |                 |                |                |
|   | E | US-  |                 |                |                |
|   | F | US-  |                 |                |                |
|   | G | US-  |                 |                | ***            |
|   | Н | US-  |                 |                |                |
|   | 1 | US-  |                 |                |                |
|   | J | US-  |                 |                |                |
|   | К | US-  |                 |                |                |
|   | L | US-  |                 |                |                |
|   | М | US-  |                 |                |                |

#### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

| TORLIGH PATENT DOCUMENTS |   |  |                 |         |   |                |
|--------------------------|---|--|-----------------|---------|---|----------------|
| *                        |   | Document Number<br>Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date<br>MM-YYYY | Country | Name                                    | Classification |
|                          | N |  |                 |         |   |                |
|                          | 0 |  |                 |         |   |                |
|                          | Р |  |                 |         | *************************************** |                |
|                          | Q |  |                 |         |   |                |
|                          | R |  | ,               | 17111   | 5 A & 17                                |                |
|                          | s |  |                 |         |   |                |
|                          | Т |  |                 |         |   |                |

### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

| $\overline{}$ | <del>,                                     </del> | TOTAL |
|---------------|---|---|
| *             | <u> </u>  | Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)   |
|               | U   |   |
|               | Ņ   |   |
|               | 8   |   |
|               | x   |   |

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.